#### MILLER & MARTIN PLLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

RECEIVED

1200 ONE NASHVILLE PLACE

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37219-2433-004 APR 14 PM 3: 56

615/244-9270

FAX 615/256-8197

T.R.A. DUCKET ROUSEVENTH FLOOR

OR

1275 PEACHTREE STREET, N E

FAX 615/744-8466 WRITER'S DIRECT NUMBER 615/744-8572

ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309-3576

ATLANTA OFFICE

404/962-6100

FAX 404/962-6300

E-MAIL ADDRESS mmalone@millermartin com

MELVIN J MALONE > NASHVILLE OFFICE

CHATTANOOGA OFFICE

SUITE 1000 VOLUNTEER BUILDING

832 GEORGIA AVENUE

CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE 37402-2289

423/756-6600

FAX 423/785-8480

April 14, 2004

#### VIA HAND DELIVERY

Honorable Kim Beals, Esq, Hearing Officer c/o Sharla Dillon, Docket & Records Manager Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee, 37243-0505

> Petition of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless For Arbitration RE:

Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996

TRA Consolidated Docket # 03-00585

Dear Hearing Officer Beals

Enclosed please find one (1) original and fourteen (14) copies of the CMRS Providers' Reply in Support of Motion to Exceed Forty (40) Discovery Requests. All parties of record have been served.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please let me know.

Very truly yours,

MJM/cgb Enclosures Honorable Kim Beals, Esq., Hearing Officer April 14, 2004 Page 2

cc William T. Ramsey, Esq
Stephen G. Kraskin, Esq
Henry Walker, Esq.
Paul Walters, Jr.
Mark J. Ashby
Suzanne Toller, Esq.
Beth K Fujimoto, Esq.
Edward Phillips
Charles W McKee
Elaine Critides
Dan Menser
Marin Fettman
Leon M. Bloomfield

# BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

In Re	)	
Petition for Arbitration of Cellco Partnership	) )	
d/b/a Verizon Wıreless	) )	No. 03-00585
	)	

## CMRS PROVIDERS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO EXCEED FORTY (40) DISCOVERY REQUESTS

Petitioners Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon Wireless"), AT&T Wireless PCS, LLC d/b/a AT&T Wireless ("AT&T Wireless"), BellSouth Mobility LLC; BellSouth Personal Communications, LLC, Chattanooga MSA Limited Partnership, collectively d/b/a Cingular Wireless ("Cingular Wireless"), Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS ("Sprint PCS"), and T-Mobile USA, Inc ("T-Mobile"), collectively referred to herein as the CMRS Providers, hereby reply to the Response in Opposition to Motion Regarding CMRS Providers' First Set of Interrogatories

#### **BACKGROUND**

Pursuant to the Procedural Schedule in this matter, on March 19, 2004, the CMRS Providers submitted the First Set of Interrogatories of the CMRS Providers to Each of the Members of the Rural Coalition of Small LECs and Cooperatives. Simultaneously with the foregoing, the CMRS Providers submitted a Motion Regarding CMRS Providers' First Set of Interrogatories (the "Motion") In this motion, the CMRS Providers requested permission, to the extent necessary, to exceed the Tennessee Regulatory Authority's ("TRA" or "Authority")

prescribed limits. The basis of the Motion is "to reduce administrative burdens on both the parties and the TRA" On March 26, 2004, the Members of the Rural Coalition of Small LECs and Cooperatives filed their Response in Opposition to Motion Regarding CMRS Providers' First Set of Interrogatories.

#### **ARGUMENTS**

As set forth in the Motion, the Authority consolidated the petitions for arbitration filed by the CMRS Providers on December 8, 2003. The petitions for arbitration were consolidated "to reduce administrative burdens on telecommunications carriers and conserve the resources of the TRA." Consistent with the consolidation, and to reduce administrative burdens on both the parties and the TRA, the CMRS Providers prepared and submitted discovery requests jointly

On its face, the Authority rule that provides that "No Party" shall serve more than forty (40) discovery requests without leave of the Authority does not appear to contemplate consolidated dockets and/or joint submissions.<sup>3</sup> To be sure, each of the CMRS Providers could have, under the Authority's rules, separately submitted up to forty (40) interrogatories upon each of the Members of the Rural Coalition of Small LECs and Cooperatives (the "Rural Coalition"), resulting in a total of some 200 interrogatories (i.e. 40 interrogatories x 5 CMRS Providers). Though permissible, such an approach would have far exceeded the number of interrogatories jointly propounded by the CMRS Providers and would have been far less efficient from the perspective of the parties and the Authority. For these reasons, the CMRS Providers attempted,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Motion Regarding CMRS Providers' First Set of Interrogatories, In Re Petition for Arbitration of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, TRA Consolidated Docket No 03-00585, p 1 (Mar 19, 2004)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Amended Order Appointing Hearing Officer, In Re Petition for Arbitration of Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, TRA Consolidated Docket No 03-00585, p.2 (Mar 24, 2004)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Tenn Reg Auth R 1220-1-2 11(5)(a)

in good faith, to work in a manner consistent with the consolidation by jointly submitting interrogatories in a number far short of 200.<sup>4</sup>

It may be that the Motion should have been entitled "Motion and Memorandum Regarding CMRS Providers' First Set of Interrogatories," as the Motion in fact establishes the grounds in support of the request. Further, it is true that the letter of the agency's Rules of Practice and Procedure contemplate both a motion and an accompanying memorandum when a party seeks to serve more than forty (40) discovery requests. Yet, the purpose of the foregoing requirement must not be lost in the midst of the objections. According to the agency's rules, the memorandum should establish "good cause for the service of additional interrogatories or requests for production." As previously noted, and contrary to the contention of the Rural Coalition, the Motion did in fact establish good cause for the service of additional interrogatories or requests for production.

Finally, the Rural Coalition objects to the Motion because no specific precedent was cited therein. The "sound precedent" referenced by the CMRS Providers encompassed the agency's long and common practice of permitting parties in a consolidated docket to exceed prescribed limits on discovery requests when such requests are submitted jointly.

#### **CONCLUSION**

No abuse or harm has been demonstrated. Indeed, the Rural Coalition has in fact already provided responses to the CMRS Providers' interrogatories. Consistent with their *Motion* Regarding CMRS Providers' First Set of Interrogatories, the CMRS Providers respectfully

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Whether the interrogatories submitted by the CMRS Providers number 38, 58, or some number in between, there has been no showing that the interrogatories are somehow unnecessary or unduly burdensome

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Tenn Reg Auth R 1220-1-2 11(5)(a)

request the Hearing Officer either to grant their motion as concerning the discovery requests filed simultaneously therewith or to find the opposition moot.

Respectfully submitted,

Melvin J. Malone

J. Barclay Phillips

Miller & Martin, PLLC

1200 One Nashville Place

150 Fourth Avenue North

Nashville, TN 37219-2433

(615) 244-9270

Counsel for Cellco Partnership d/b/a

Verizon Wireless

On Behalf of the CMRS Providers

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on April 4, 2004, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served on the parties of record, via the method indicated:

[ <b>7</b> ] [ ] [ ]	Hand Mail Facsimile Overnight	William T. Ramsey Neal & Harwell 150 Fourth Avenue North, Suite 2000 Nashville, TN 37219-2498
[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]	Hand Mail Facsimile Overnight Electronically	Stephen G. Kraskın Kraskin, Lesse & Cosson, LLP 2120 L Street NW, Suite 520 Washington, D.C. 20037
[]	Hand Maıl Facsimile Overnight	Henry Walker Boult, Cummings, Conners & Berry, PLC 414 Union Street, Suite 1600 PO Box 198062 Nashville, TN 37219
[ ] [ ] [ ]	Hand Maıl Facsimıle Overnıght	J. Gray Sasser Miller & Martin LLP 1200 One Nashville Place 150 Fourth Avenue North Nashville, Tennessee 37219
[]	Hand Maıl Facsımıle Overnıght	Paul Walters, Jr. 15 East 1 <sup>st</sup> Street Edmond, OK 73034
[]	Hand Mail Facsimile Overnight	Mark J. Ashby Cingular Wireless 5565 Glennridge Connector Suite 1700 Atlanta, GA 30342
[ ] [ <b>\ni</b> ] [ ]	Hand Mail Facsimile Overnight	Suzanne Toller Davis Wright Tremaine LLP One Embarcadero Center, #600 San Francisco, CA 94111-3611

		T =
[ ]	Hand	Beth K. Fujimoto
[ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Maıl	AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.
[ ]	Facsımıle	7277 164 <sup>th</sup> Ave., NE
اً ا	Overnight	Redmond, WA 90852
	•	
[ ]	Hand	Edward Phillips
[2]	Maıl	Sprint
	Facsımıle	14111 Capital Boulevard
1 1	Overnight	Wake Forest, NC 27587
[ ]	Overnight	Wake Telest, The 27307
[ ]	Hand	Charles McKee
[ ]	Mail	Sprint PCS
	Facsimile	6450 Sprint Parkway, MailStop 2A553
L ]	Overnight	Overland Park, KS 66251
	Overnight	Overland I ark, NS 00231
[ ]	Hand	Elaine Critides
[.]	Mail	Verizon Wireless
[ ]	Facsimile	1300 I Street, N.W.
L J	Overnight	Washington, D.C. 20005
	Overnight	Washington, D.C. 20005
	Hand	Dan Menser
[2]	Maıl	Sr. Corporate Counsel
	Facsimile	T-Mobile USA, Inc.
	Overnight	12920 SE 38 <sup>th</sup> Street
[ ]	Overment	Bellevue, WA 98006
		Believae, 1171 70000
[ ]	Hand	Marın Fettman
1 7.1	Mail	Corporate Counsel, Regulatory Affairs
[ ]	Facsimile	T-Mobile USA, Inc
L J	Overnight	12920 SE 38 <sup>th</sup> Street
	Overingin	Bellevue, WA 98006
		Bolleville, WA 70000
[ ]	Hand	Leon M. Bloomfield
1 1	Mail	Wilson & Bloomfield LLP
	Facsimile	1901 Harrison St., Suite 1630
	Overnight	Oakland, CA 94612
	Overnight	Oukland, CA 54012

Melym J Majone J. Barclay Phillips Miller & Martin, PLLC

1554185